(3)

CF Copy

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 3814 /2003

Petitioner : VINOD KUMAR GUPTA,
S/o Late Shri Udaybhan Gupta,
aged about 46 years,
posted as Sub Engineer
Mini Mata Bango, Div. 3, Sakti
Department of Water Resources

VERSUS

Respondents :

1. Union of India,
Through : The Secretary
Ministry of Personnel,
Public Grievances and Pension
IIIrd Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan
Khan Market, New Delhi.

2. State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through : The Secretary
Department of Water Resources,
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

3. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through : The Secretary
Department of Water Resources,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR 18.9.

P. R. No. 2863/03
Presented by Shri Bhe. Kasc. Pagesh ji
dated 16.09.03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(102)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 3014 OF 2003

Petitioner : Vinod Kumar Gupta

Versus

Respondents : Union of India & others.

Shri Bhaskar Pyasi, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, panel lawyer for State/respondent No.3.
Shri V.C. Ottalwar, counsel for respondent No.2.

ORAL ORDER

(21st February, 2006)

1. The petitioner was employed as Sub Engineer, in Water Resources Department. By order dated 16.9.2002, the petitioner has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh, contrary to his option for State of Madhya Pradesh.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh vide order dated 16.2.2002, the petitioner wants to make a representation to the respondents/authorities for considering his case for reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh, to which learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.
3. In view of the foregoing, the petitioner is granted liberty to make a representation before the concerned authorities. The concerned authorities are accordingly directed to consider and decide the representation, if any, and to pass order in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of representation.

(163)

4. In view of the above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge